Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, King's College, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, England

Complexes of the Platinum Metals. 18.' Coordination and Fragmentation of p-Tolyl Isocyanate

ARVIND SAHAJPAL and STEPHEN D. ROBINSON*

Received May 17, 1979

p-Tolyl isocyanate (RNCO) undergoes coordination and fragmentation reactions with $[MHX(CO)(PPh₃)₃]$ (M = Ru or $\text{Os}; X = \text{Cl}$ or Br), $[\text{MH}_2(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$, and $[\text{RuH}_2(\text{PPh}_3)_4]$ or $[\text{OsH}_4(\text{PPh}_3)_3]$ to yield products containing formamide (RN-CH-0), ureylene (RN-C(0)-NR), and formamidinate (RN-CH-NR) ligands, respectively. The new complexes $[MX(RN=CH=-O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2], [MH(RN=CH=-O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2], [M(RN-C(O)-NR)(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2],$ and $[MH(RN=CH=NR)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$ have been characterized by analytical and spectroscopic methods.

Introduction

As part of an ongoing study of the coordination chemistry of heteroallenes, we have previously reported on the reactions of carbon disulfide,² organic isothiocyanates³ and organic carbodiimides^{4,5} with a selection of platinum metal-hydrido complexes. We now report on the logical extension of our investigation to organic isocyanates and describe new products obtained by the coordination and fragmentation of the isocyanate (RNCO) moiety. **A** preliminary account of this work has previously been published.⁶ The reactions of organic isocyanates with transition-metal complexes have recently been reviewed.'

Experimental Section

Hydrido complexes were prepared as previously described⁸ by using platinum metal salts supplied by Johnson Matthey and Co. Ltd. N-p-Tolyl isocyanate was obtained from Aldrich Chemical Co. Ltd. and was used as purchased. Reagent grade organic solvents were dried over molecular sieves and degassed before use. The petroleum ether used had a boiling range of 60-80 °C. Reactions were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere, but products were worked up in air. Analyses, by the microanalytical laboratory of University College, London, and melting points, taken in sealed tubes under nitrogen, are given in Table I. Proton and phosphorus-31 NMR spectra were obtained at 90 and 36.43 MHz, respectively, with a Bruker HFX90 NMR spectrometer. Infrared spectra were run as Nujol mulls on a Perkin-Elmer 457 grating spectrometer. Spectroscopic data are recorded in Table 11.

Carbonylchloro(N-p-tolylformamido) his(tripheny1phosphine)ruthenium(II). A mixture of p -tolyl isocyanate (0.2 mL) and carbo**nylchlorohydridotris(tripheny1phosphine)ruthenium** (0.34 g) in toluene (20 mL) was heated under reflux for 6 h. The resultant dark yellow-brown solution was allowed to cool to ambient temperature, filtered, and then concentrated to an oil under reduced pressure. The oil was dissolved in the minimum volume of dichloromethane and then diluted with methanol (10 mL) to precipitate the required product as a pale yellow solid. The precipitate was filtered off, washed successively with methanol and petroleum ether, and recrystallized from dichloromethane-methanol to yield pale yellow microcrystals (0.17 g, 59%).

Bromocarhonyl(*N-p-* **toly Iformamido) bis(tripheny1phosphine)ruthenium(I1)** was similarly prepared from p-tolyl isocyanate and **bromocarbonylhydridotris(tripheny1phosphine)ruthenium** and was purified and crystallized as described above to yield yellow microcrystals (60%).

Carbonylhydrido(N-p-tolylformamido)bis(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium(II)-Dichloromethane $(4/1)$ **. A mixture of p-tolyl isocyanate** (0.2 mL) and **carbonyldihydridotris(tripheny1phosphine)ruthenium** (0.4 g) in benzene (20 mL) was heated under reflux for 15 min. The clear orange-yellow solution was cooled to ambient temperature, filtered, and then concentrated to an oil under reduced pressure. The oil was dissolved in the minimum volume of dichloromethane and then diluted with methanol (10 mL) to precipitate the required product as a light grey solid. The precipitate was filtered off, washed successively with methanol and petroleum ether, and then recrystallized from dichloromethane-methanol to yield the dichloromethane solvated product as white microcrystals (0.16 g, 47%).

Carbonylhydrido(N-p-tolylformamido) his(tripheny1phosphine)os- mium (II) . A mixture of p-tolyl isocyanate (0.4 mL) and carbonyl**dihydridotris(tripheny1phosphine)osmium** (0.9 g) in toluene was heated under reflux for ca. $7^{1}/_{2}$ h. The clear pale yellow solution was cooled to ambient temperature, filtered and then concentrated to an oil under reduced pressure. The oil was dissolved in the minimum volume of dichloromethane and then diluted with methanol (10 mL) to give a grey solid $(0.29 g)$. This grey product, which was contaminated by starting material, was washed successively with methanol and petroleum ether. The filtrate from the above product was again concentrated under reduced pressure and crystallized from methanol to give a white solid, which was free from the starting material. This was recrystallized from dichloromethane-methanol to yield the pure product as white microcrystals (0.38 g, 43%).

Dicarbonyl(ureylene)bis(triphenylpbosphine)ruthenium(I~). Car**bonyldihydridotris(triphenylphosphine)ruthenium** (0.4 g) and p-tolyl isocyanate (0.3 mL) in toluene (20 mL) were heated under reflux for *5* h. The clear reddish brown solution was cooled to ambient temperature, filtered, and then concentrated to an oil under reduced pressure. The oil was dissolved in the minimum volume of dichloromethane and the solution was then diluted with methanol (10 mL) to give an orange-yellow solid. This was filtered off and washed successively with methanol and petroleum ether and then recrystallized from dichloromethane—methanol to yield orange crystals $(0.18 \text{ g}, 45\%)$.

Dicarbonyl(ureylene)bis(triphenylphosphine)osmium(II) was similarly prepared from p-tolyl isocyanate (0.3 mL) and carbonyldi**hydridotris(tripheny1phosphine)osmium** (0.4 g) in toluene (20 mL) and was purified and crystallized as described above to yield microcrystals (0.21 g, 52%).

Carbonylhydrido(N,N'-di-p-tolylformamidinato)bis(triphenyl**phosphine)ruthenium(II)-Methanol (l/l).** A mixture of p-tolyl isocyanate (0.3 mL) and **dihydridotetrakis(tripheny1phosphine)ru**thenium (0.6 g) in benzene (20 mL) was heated under reflux for ca. 30 min. The dark yellow-brown solution was concentrated to an oil under reduced pressure. The oil was dissolved in dichloromethane (10 mL) and then passed through an alumina column to remove impurities. The clear yellow-brown solution collected off the column was concentrated under reduced pressure and then diluted with methanol (10 mL) to give a pale yellow solid. This was filtered off, washed successively with methanol and petroleum ether, and then recrystallized from dichloromethane--methanol to give the methanol-solvated product as pale yellow microcrystals (0.24 g, *53%).*

Carbonylhydrido(N,N'-di-p-tolylformamidinato)bis(triphenyl**phosphine)osmium(II)** was similarly prepared from p-tolyl isocyanate (0.3 mL) and **tetrahydridotris(tripheny1phosphine)osmium** (0.6 g) in benzene (20 mL) and was purified and crystallized as described above to yield pale yellow microcrystals (0.26 g, 56%).

Results and Discussion

 $[MX(RN=-CH=-O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$ (M = Ru or Os). *p*-Tolyl isocyanate reacts with the complexes [MHX(CO)- $(PPh₃)₃$] in boiling toluene over a period of ca. 6 h (M = Ru, $X = Cl$ or Br) or in boiling ethylbenzene over a period of ca. 10 h ($M = Os$, $X = Br$) to yield formamido complexes $[MX(RN=-CH=-O)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$ analogous to the thioformamido derivatives previously obtained from aryl isothiocyanates (ArNCS) by a similar route.³ The new complexes are yellow, air-stable crystalline solids and display strong infrared bands at ca. 1540 and 1280 cm^{-1} attributable to the formamide $(RN=-CH=-O)$ ligand. The ruthenium complexes display a singlet in their ³¹P NMR spectra indicative of either

Table I. Melting Point and Analytical^a Data

complex	mp, °C	% C^a	$\%$ H.	$\mathcal{R} \times N$	$\%$ P
$[RuCl(RN=CH=O)(CO)(PPh_1),]$	186-188	64.77 (65.65)	4.66(4.65)	1,66(1.70)	7.73(7.52)
$[RuBr(RN=CH=O)(CO)(PPh3),]$	188–192	61.55(62.23)	4.44(4.45)	1.41(1.61)	6.59(7.14)
$[OsBr(RN-CH=O)(CO)(PPh_2),]$	$205 - 209$	57.46 (56.48)	4.16(4.00)	1.43(1.46)	6.25(6.47)
$[RuH(RN=CH=O)(CO)(PPh_a),]^{b}$	$198 - 200$	67.18(67.09)	5.09(4.91)	1.32(1.72)	8.11 (7.65)
$[OsH(RN=CH=O)(CO)(PPh_2)$	187-190	60.35(61.56)	4.52 (4.47)	1.62(1.59)	7.76(7.05)
$\mathbb{R} \mathfrak{u} \{ \mathsf{RN}-\mathsf{C}(\mathsf{O})-\mathsf{NR} \}$ (CO) ₂ (PPh ₃) ₂ .	194-197	68.93 (69.20)	4.89(4.82)	2.97(3.04)	6.52(6.73)
$[Os(RN-C(O)-NR)(CO), (PPh3)$	$216 - 220$	62.74 (63.08)	4.46(4.39)	2.25(2.78)	
$[RuH(RN=CH=NR)(CO)(PPh_3),$ ^c	184-189	69.61 (69.93)	4.97(5.56)	2.74(3.08)	
$[OsH(RN=CH=NR)(CO)(PPh_3)_2]$	147–150	63.84 (64.58)	4.91 (4.80)	2.25(2.90)	6.39(6.41)

^a Calculated figures given in parentheses. ^b Figures calculated for 4/1 dichloromethane solvate. ^c Figures calculated for 1/1 methanol solvate.

Table **11.** Spectroscopic Data

			$\nu(MH)$		2J (PH),		
complex	ligand vib. cm^{-1}	$\nu({\rm CO})$, cm ⁻¹	cm^{-1}	τ MH	Hz	$\tau_{\rm Me}$	δp
$[RuCl(RN=CH=O(CO)(PPh_3),]$	1540, 1280	1930				7.82	33.4
$[RuBr(RN=CH=O)(CO)(PPh_3),]$	1540, 1280	1950				7.80	33.7
$[OsBr(RN=CH=O)(CO)(PPha)$, $]$	1540, 1270	1910				α	α
$[RuH(RN=CH=O)(CO)(PPh3)$	1565, 1250	1910	2010	26.5 t^{c}	20.5	7.80	43.6
$[OsH(RN=CH=O)(CO)(PPh_3)$	1540, 1270	1900	2040	23.2 t	18.75	7.76	
$[Ru\{RN-C(O)-NR\}(CO), (PPh_3),]$	1610, 1500, 1320	2015, 1950				7.80	27.05
$[Os{RN-C(O)-NR}(CO), (PPh_2),]$	1610, 1502, 1320	2000, 1930				7.80	-0.44
$[RuH(RN=CH=NR)(CO)(PPh_3),]$	1540, 1505, 1280, 1270, 815	1930		23.37t	20.0	7.74/7.90	
$[OsH(RN=CH=NR)(CO)(PPh_2),]$	1540, 1508, 1280, 1265, 820	1905	1940	20.54 t	12.8	7.83	

^{*a*} See text. ^{*b*} Masked by ν (CO). ^{*c*} t = triplet.

stereochemistry Ia or Ib. Since the related acetato⁹ or trifluoroacetato¹⁰ and triazenido¹¹ or formamidinato⁵ complexes containing carbonyl ligands trans to oxygen and nitrogen donors, respectively, all show similar $\nu(CO)$ frequencies, it is not possible to distinguish between the alternative structures Ia and Ib on the basis of infrared spectroscopic evidence. The osmium complex $[OsBr(RN=CH=O)(CO)(PPh_1)_2]$ gives satisfactory analytical data. However its ³¹P and ¹H NMR spectra indicate that it is a complex mixture containing many if not all of the seven possible stereoisomers.

[MH(RN--CH--O)(CO)(PPh₃)₂]. Under relatively mild conditions ($M = Ru$, benzene, 15-min reflux; $M = Os$, toluene, 8-h reflux) the complexes $[MH_2(CO)(PPh_3)_3]$ react with p-tolyl isocyanate to afford the formamido derivatives $[MH(RN=CH=O)(CO)(PPh_1)_2]$ as white, air-stable microcrystalline solids. Each complex displays a singlet in its 31P NMR spectrum indicative of stereochemistry IIa or IIb. However, comparison of the high-field proton NMR chemical shifts (τ_{MH}) recorded for the formamido complexes with those previously reported for the corresponding ruthenium and osmium acetato,⁹ trifluoroacetato,¹⁰ triazenido,¹¹ and formamidinato⁵ derivatives strongly suggests that the ruthenium complex $\text{RuH}(\text{RN}=\text{CH}-\text{O})(\text{CO})(\text{PPh}_3)_2$ and its osmium analogue contain hydride ligands trans to oxygen and nitrogen donor atoms, respectively. We therefore tentatively assign stereochemistry IIa to the ruthenium complex and stereochemistry IIb to its osmium counterpart.

Although insertion of organic isocyanates into transition metal-nitrogen¹² or -carbon¹³ bonds has previously been reported, the reactions discussed above are, to the best of our knowledge, the first examples of isocyanate insertion into metal-hydrogen bonds.

 $[M\{RN-C(O)-NR\}(\text{CO})_2(\text{PPh}_2)_2]$. Under more vigorous and/or prolonged reaction conditions ($M = Ru$, toluene, 5-h reflux; $M = Os$, toluene, 24-h reflux) cleavage of a molecule of isocyanate leading to formation of the ureylene complexes $[M(RN-C(O)-NR(CO)_2(PPh_3)_2]$ occurs. The presence of the ureylene ligand in these products is confirmed by infrared spectroscopy $[\nu(\text{C}=0)$ ca. 1610 cm⁻¹], and the overall stereochemistry I11 is established by the infrared and NMR spectroscopic data [M = Ru, ν (CO) ca. 2020 and 1950 cm⁻¹, τ_{Me} 7.8 (s), δ_{PPh_3} 27.05 (s); M = Os, ν (CO) ca. 2000 and 1930 cm⁻¹, τ_{Me} 7.8 (s), δ_{PPh_3} -0.44 (s)]. An analogous ruthenium complex $\left[\text{Ru}\right]\left[\text{Ru}\right]\left[\text{Ru}\right]$ (CO)-NR[']j(CO)₂(PPh₃)₂] (R' = p- $MeC_6H_4SO_2$) has previously been obtained by treatment of $[Ru(CO)₃(PPh₃)₂]$ with $R'N₃$, $R'NCO$, or $R'NH$ –CO–NHR' in benzene.¹⁴ Fragmentation and coordination of p -tolylsulfonyl isocyanate by complexes of rhodium, palladium, and platinum have also afforded ureylene derivatives of these metals.¹⁵ Finally the ureylene-bridged complexes μ -(diphenylureylene)-bis(tricarbonyliron) and μ -(dimethylureylene)-bis-(tricarbonyliron) have been obtained from the reaction of $Fe₃(CO)₁₂$ with phenyl isocyanate¹⁶ and methyl isocyanate¹⁷ respectively.

[MH(RN==CH==NR)(CO)(PPh₃)₂]. Treatment of

 $[RuH_2(PPh_3)_4]$ or $[OsH_4(PPh_3)_3]$ with p-tolyl isocyanate affords the formamidinato complexes $[MH(RN=$ $CH = NR(CO)(PPh₃)₂$ (M = Ru and Os, respectively). The ruthenium complex is identical with an authentic specimen previously prepared from di- p -tolylcarbodiimide^{4,5} and is shown by X-ray diffraction methods to possess stereochemistry $IVa⁵$ In contrast, the less labile osmium system retains the intermediate stereochemistry IVb rather than isomerizing to the thermodynamically preferred structure IVa. Spectroscopic data (Table 11) are in accord with these stereochemical assignments. Formation of the formamidinate ligand clearly involves fragmentation of at least two isocyanate moieties. The stoichiometry of the overall reaction appears to be

$$
RuH_2(PPh_3)_4 + 2RNCO \rightarrow
$$

RuH(RN=-CH=-NR)(CO)(PPh_3)_2 + PPh_3 + OPPh_3

or $OsH_4(PPh_3)_3 + 2RNCO \rightarrow$ $OsH(RN-CH-NR)(CO)(PPh_3)_2 + OPPh_3 + H_2$

At the request of a referee we append a note on the NMR spectra of the formamide and formamidinate ligands. As we have previously noted, chelate ligands of the form $X = CH = Y$ $(X, Y = RN \text{ or } S)$ usually show a proton resonance, τ_{CH} ca. *-5* to +2, attributable to the ligand CH group. However, in several instances the position of this resonance is such that masking by the aromatic resonances of the PPh₃ ligand $(\tau_{Ph}$ 2.2-2.7) occurs. Masking has already been fully documented for one of the products mentioned in the present paper, $[RuH(RN=CH=NR)(CO)(PPh₃)₂]$, and the structure of this complex has been confirmed by X-ray diffraction methods.⁵ Therefore the apparent absence of such resonances from the 'H NMR spectra of the formamido and formamidinato complexes discussed above is not inconsistent with the proposed structures.

Registry No. I (M = Ru, X = Cl, R = p -Me-C₆H₄), 69372-56-1; I ($M = Ru$, $X = Br$, $R = p-Me-C₆H₄$), 69372-57-2; I ($M = Os$, X = Br, R = p-Me-C₆H₄), 71597-17-6; IIa (M = Ru, R = p-Me-C₆H₄), 69372-58-3; IIb ($\dot{M} = Os$, $R = p-Me-C_6H_4$), 69427-90-3; III ($\dot{M} =$ Ru, R = p -Me-C₆H₄), 69403-53-8; III (M = Os, R = p -Me-C₆H₄), 69403-54-9; IVa ($R = p$ -Me-C₆H₄), 60939-10-8; IVb ($R = p$ -Me-C6H4), 7 1629-32-8; **carbonylchlorohydridotris(tripheny1phosphine)** ruthenium, 16971-33-8; **bromocarbonylhydridotris(tripheny1** phosphine)ruthenium, 1697 1-34-9; **carbonyldihydridotris(tripheny1** phosphine)ruthenium, 25360-32- 1; **carbonyldihydridotris(tripheny1** phosphine)osmium, 12104-84-6; **dihydridotetrakis(tripheny1** phosphine)ruthenium, 19529-00-1; **tetrahydridotris(tripheny1** phosphine)osmium, 24228-59-9; **bromocarbonylhydridotris(tri**phenylphosphine)osmium, 1697 1-32-7; p-tolyl isocyanate, 622-58-2.

References and Notes

- Part 17: C. J. Creswell, **A.** Dobson, D. S. Moore, and **S.** D. Robinson, *Inorg. Chem., 18, 2055 (1979).*
S. D. Robinson and A. Sahajpal, *J. Organomet. Chem., 99,* C65 (1975);
- *Inorg. Chem.,* **16,** 2718 (1977). **S.** D. Robinson and **A.** Sahajpal, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* **111,** C26
- (1976); *Inorg. Chem.,* **16,** 2722 (1977). S. D. Robinson and **A.** Sahajpal, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* **117,** Clll
- (1976) .
- L. D. Brown, S. D. Robinson, **A.** Sahajpal, and J. **A.** lbers, *Inorg. Chem.,* **16,** 2728 (1977).
- S. D. Robinson and **A.** Sahajpal, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* **164,** C9 (1979). S. Cenini and G. La Monica, *Inorg. Chim. Acta,* **18,** 279 (1976).
-
- N. Ahrnad, S. D. Robinson, and M. F. Uttley, *J. Chem. Sot., Dalton Trans.,* 843 (1972). S. D. Robinson and M. F. Uttley, *J. Chem.* Soc., *Dalton Trans.,* 1912
- (1973).
- **A.** Dobson, S. D. Robinson and M. F. Uttley, *J. Chem.* Soc., *Dalton Trans..* 370 (1975).
- K. R. Laing,'S. D.'Robinson, and M. F. Uttley, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.,* 1205 (1974).
- *G.* Chandra, **A.** D. Jenkins, M. F. Lappert and R. C. Srivastava, *J. Chem. Soc. A, 2550* (1970).

J. D. Wilkins, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **67**, 269 (1974), and references
- therein.
- S. Cenini, M. Pizzotti, F. Porta, and G. La Monica, *J. Organomet. Chem.,* **88,** 237 (1975).
- W. Beck, W. Rieber, S. Cenini, F. Porta, and *G. La Monica, J. Chem.*
Soc., Dalton Trans., 298 (1974).
J. A. J. Jarvis, B. E. Job, B. T. Kilburn, R. H. B. Mais, P. G. Owston,
- and P. F. Todd, *Chem. Commun.,* 1149 (1967), and references therein.
- M. Dekker and *G.* R. Knox, *Chem. Commun.,* 1243 (1967).

Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

Platinum(I1) Complexes of the Chelating Triphosphine Bis(3- (diphenylphosphino)propyl)phenylphosphine, Phosphorus-31 NMR Studies of the Cis and Trans Influence on These Model Compounds'

KWOLIANG D. TAU and DEVON **W.** MEEK*

Received September *22, 1978*

A series of planar $[Pt(ttp)X]^+$ (ttp = PhP(CH₂CH₂CH₂PPh₂)₂; X = Cl⁻, NCS⁻, NO₂⁻, H⁻, CH₃⁻, CH₂CN⁻, COOCH₃⁻, CH₂CH=CH₂⁻, and Ph⁻) and $[Pt(ttp)Y]^2$ ⁺ ($Y = P(OMe)$ ₃ and PE_{t₃) complexes have been prepared and characterized} by their elemental analyses, conductivity measurements, infrared spectra, and ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra. The σ -bonded carbon ligands $(X = \text{methyl}, \text{cyan}$, and allyl) were prepared by oxidative-addition reactions on the Pt(0) compounds Pt(ttp)PPh₃ and Pt(ttp)CO. The SO₂ complex [Pt(ttp)Cl]Cl-SO₂ is unusual for a SO₂-metal complex, as the SO₂ molecule is sulfur bonded to the ionic chloride to give the SO_2Cl^- anion, instead of being bonded either to the metal or to one of its ligands. The magnitude of the one-bond platinum-phosphorus coupling constant obtained from phosphorus-31 NMR spectra produces the trans influence series Ph- $\sim \sigma$ -allyl- $>$ COOCH₃⁻ $>$ H- $>$ CH₂CN⁻ $>$ PEt₃ spectra produces the trans influence series $\hat{P}h \sim \sigma$ -allyl⁻> COOCH₃⁻> CH₃⁻> H⁻> CH₂CN⁻> $\hat{P}Et_3$ > P(OMe)₃
> NO₂⁻> -NCS⁻ > Cl⁻. As the fourth ligand (X⁻ or Y) is varied, the changes in t are smaller than the changes in the trans coupling constants, $J_{p_t-p_p}$. The σ -donor ligands that exert a large trans influence (e.g., CH_3^- and $CH_2CH=CH_2^-$) display a small cis influence, whereas those ligands that exert a small trans influence (e.g., C1⁻) produce a relatively large cis influence. The following cis influence series is deduced from the ${}^{1}J_{P_1-PPh_2}$ values: $P(OMe)₃$ > NCS⁻ > Cl⁻ > $PE_{t₃}$ > NO₂⁻ > CH₂CN⁻ > COOH₃⁻ > H⁻ > CH₃⁻ > Ph⁻ > σ -allyl⁻. The ³¹P NMR spectra of several $[Pt(ttp)X]^+$ complexes $(X =$ anionic ligand) demonstrate that the ¹⁹⁵Pt satellite patterns are not necessarily the same as the central resonance.

a ligand L weakens the bond trans or cis to itself, M-A, in

Introduction the ground state of a metal complex.² Recent theoretical A trans or cis influence is defined as the extent to which treatments explain satisfactorily the high trans influence of igand L weakens the bond trans or cis to itself, $M-A$, in ligands such as hydride and σ -alkyl whi